## Thermodynamic limit of the free 1DEG on a circle

Riccardo Fantoni\*

Università di Trieste, Dipartimento di Fisica, strada Costiera 11, 34151 Grignano (Trieste), Italy

(Dated: February 6, 2023)

We derive the density matrix for a one dimensional free electron gas on a circle.

## CONTENTS

- I. A simple derivation
- A. A determinantal identity

## I. A SIMPLE DERIVATION

Consider N = 2p + 1 (with p = 0, 1, 2, 3, ... free polarized fermions on a circle of circumference L. At an inverse temperature  $\beta$  the density matrix for one of those fermions is,

$$\rho_1(x,y;\beta) = \frac{1}{L} \theta_3(\frac{\pi}{L}(x-y), \exp(-\beta\lambda(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2))$$

$$= \lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n=-q}^q \exp(-\beta\lambda(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 n^2) \exp(-i\frac{2\pi}{L}n(x-y))$$

$$= \lim_{q \to \infty} k_q(x,y;\beta) \quad , \qquad (1.1)$$

where  $\lambda = \hbar^2/(2m)$  and m is the fermions mass.

The density matrix of the N fermions is,

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \beta) = \frac{1}{N!} \det\{\rho_1(x_i, y_j; \beta)\}_{i,j=1}^N \\
= \lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{1}{N!} \det\{k_q(x_i, y_j; \beta)\}_{i,j=1}^N \\
= \lim_{q \to \infty} K_q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \beta) ,$$
(1.2)

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N)$ , and  $y_i, x_j$  are the initial and final positions of the fermions.

Notice that because of Pauli's principle (see appendix),

$$K_q = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad q$$

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

For the particular case q = p there is a simple expression for  $K_q$ , namely,

$$K_{p}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \beta) = \frac{1}{N!} \frac{2^{N(N-1)}}{L^{N}} \exp(-2\beta\lambda(\frac{2\pi}{L})^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{p} n^{2})$$
$$\prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} \sin(\frac{\pi}{L}(x_{i} - x_{j})) \sin(\frac{\pi}{L}(y_{i} - y_{j})) \quad .$$
(1.4)

\* rfantoni@ts.infn.it

This expression is the exact density matrix of the ground state (when  $\beta \to \infty$ ) of the N fermions.

For example let's find the partition function  $Z(\beta)$  of the fermion system in the thermodynamic limit. We need to calculate the trace  $Z_p(\beta)$  of  $K_p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \beta)$  and then take p to infinity.

$$Z_{p}(\beta) = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_{1} \cdots \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_{N} K_{p}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}; \beta)$$
  
$$= \exp(-2\beta\lambda(\frac{2\pi}{L})^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{p} n^{2}) \frac{1}{N!} \frac{2^{N(N-1)}}{(2\pi)^{N}} I_{N} , \qquad (1.5)$$

where,

$$I_{N} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta_{1} \cdots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta_{N} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} \sin^{2}((\theta_{i} - \theta_{j})/2)$$
$$= N! \frac{(2\pi)^{N}}{2^{N(N-1)}} .$$
(1.6)

So we get,

$$Z_p(\beta) = \exp(-2\beta\lambda(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 \sum_{n=1}^p n^2) \quad .$$
(1.7)

Or for the free energy,

$$F_{p}(\beta) = 2\lambda \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{p} n^{2}$$
$$= \frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \rho^{2} \lambda \frac{N^{2} - 1}{N} \quad .$$
(1.8)

And in the thermodynamic limit,

$$f(\beta) = \lim_{p \to \infty} F_p(\beta) / N = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \rho^2 \lambda \quad . \tag{1.9}$$

As expected the free energy is independent of temperature in the thermodynamic limit. Moreover we found the expected results for the ground state energy

$$E_0 = \lambda L \int_{-k_F}^{k_F} k^2 \frac{dk}{2\pi} = \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right) \frac{2}{3} \lambda k_F^3 = N\left(\frac{\lambda \rho^2 \pi^2}{3}\right), \qquad (1.10)$$

where the Fermi wave vector is  $k_F = \pi \rho$ .

But we see from equation (1.2) that in the thermodynamic limit (i.e.  $p \to \infty$  and  $\rho = N/L$  constant) it fails to give the exact density matrix of the fermions at finite inverse temperature  $\beta$  for which it is necessary to relax the constraint q = p and respect the order of the two limits, first the one over q and only later the one over p.

## Appendix A: A determinantal identity

Given three functions of two variables, K(x,y), L(x,y) and M(x,y) such that,

$$K(x,y) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} L(x,n)M(n,y) \quad .$$
(A1)

Take the following product,

$$K(x_1, y_{\pi 1})K(x_2, y_{\pi 2}) \cdots K(x_n, y_{\pi n}) = \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n}} [L(x_1, k_1)L(x_2, k_2) \cdots L(x_n, k_n)] \\ [M(k_1, y_{\pi 1})M(k_2, y_{\pi 2}) \cdots M(k_n, y_{\pi n})] .$$
(A2)

Summing appropriately with respect to all permutations we obtain,

$$\det\{K(x_i, y_j)\}_{i,j=1}^n = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} L(x_1, k_1) L(x_2, k_2) \cdots L(x_n, k_n) \det\{M(k_i, y_j)\}_{i,j=1}^n$$
(A3)

The region of summation can be decomposed in nonoverlapping regions  $\Delta_{\nu}$  characterized by the inequalities  $k_{\nu 1} < k_{\nu 2} < \cdots < k_{\nu n}$ , where  $\nu$  is an arbitrary permutation of the set  $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$  into itself.

Transforming the region  $\Delta_{\nu}$  by the change of variable  $k_{\nu i} \rightarrow k_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., n) and collecting the resulting sums, we obtain, for the righthand side of (A3),

$$\sum_{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n} \sum_{\nu} (-)^{|\nu|} L(x_1, k_{\nu^{-1}1}) L(x_2, k_{\nu^{-1}2}) \cdots L(x_n, k_{\nu^{-1}n}) \det\{M(k_i, y_j)\}_{i, j=1}^n ,$$
(A4)

where the signature  $(-)^{|\nu|}$  in each term appears as a consequence of rearranging the rows of det M.

So we derived the following composition formula  $^{1}$ ,

$$\det\{K(x_i, y_j)\}_{i,j=1}^n = \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n} \det\{L(x_i, k_j)\}_{i,j=1}^n \det\{M(k_i, y_j)\}_{i,j=1}^n \quad .$$
(A5)

Applied to the function  $k_q$  defined in (1.1) as,

$$k_q(\theta,\phi) = \sum_{n=-q}^{q} \mu_n e^{in\theta} e^{-in\phi} \quad , \tag{A6}$$

we see that for  $q \ge (N-1)/2$ ,

$$\det\{k_q(\theta_i, \phi_j)\}_{i,j=1}^N = \mu_0 \prod_{n=1}^q |\mu_n|^2 \sum_{-q \le k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n \le q} \det\{e^{ik_j \theta_i}\}_{i,j=1}^N \det\{e^{-ik_i \phi_j}\}_{i,j=1}^N$$
(A7)

So when q = (N-1)/2 the sum has only one term which is given by equation (1.4). And for q < (N-1)/2,  $det\{k_q\} = 0$ .

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Which holds also after replacing the sums with integrals.